In the matter of the Canadian Anti-Doping Program;

And in the matter of an anti-doping rule violation by Emma Brooks asserted by the Canadian Centre for Ethics in Sport;

File Outcome Summary

Summary

- 1. The Canadian Centre for Ethics in Sport (CCES) received information indicating that Emma Brooks ("the Athlete") was using various Prohibited Substances. Following the assessment of the information provided, including witness statements and documentary evidence, the CCES determined that the Athlete used BPC-157 and TB-500, both non-Specified Substances, between August 2024 and September 2024.
- 2. Following receipt of the CCES's Notice of Charge letter asserting an anti-doping rule violation (ADRV) for the Use of BPC-157 and TB-500, the Athlete failed to dispute the ADRV within the timelines specified in the letter. As a result, the ADRV, the asserted period of Ineligibility, and all applicable Consequences were thereby confirmed pursuant to a deemed waiver.

Jurisdiction

- 3. The CCES is an independent not-for-profit organization incorporated under the federal laws of Canada that promotes ethical conduct in all aspects of sport in Canada. The CCES also maintains and implements the Canadian Anti-Doping Program (CADP), including providing anti-doping services to national Sport Organizations and their members.
- 4. As Canada's National Anti-Doping Organization, the CCES is compliant the World Anti-Doping Code ("the Code") and its mandatory International Standards. The CCES has implemented the Code and the International Standards through the CADP, the domestic rules which govern this proceeding. The purpose of the Code and of the CADP is to protect the rights of athletes to fair competition.
- 5. The Athlete is a member of and participates in the activities of U SPORTS. According to CADP Rule 1.3, the CADP provisions apply to all members of, and Participants in activities of adopting Sport Organizations. The CADP was issued for adoption by Canadian Sport Organizations on October 26, 2020. U SPORTS adopted the CADP on January 27, 2021. Therefore, as a Participant in U SPORTS activities, the Athlete is subject to the CADP.

Investigation

- On September 11, 2024, the CCES received information indicating that the Athlete had been using Prohibited Substances during an Out-of-Competition period ranging from August to September 2024. The information provided to the CCES indicated that the Athlete was using BPC-157 and TB-500.
- 7. Based on a review, the CCES was satisfied that, at the time of the alleged Use, the Athlete was a member of and participated in the activities of U SPORTS.

Results Management

- 8. BPC-157 and TB-500 are classified as non-Specified Substances on the World Anti-Doping Agency's (WADA) 2024 Prohibited List.
- 9. On December 2, 2024, the CCES issued a Notification of a potential ADRV to the Athlete for the Use of Prohibited Substances and provided the Athlete with an opportunity to provide an explanation of their Use of BPC-157 and TB-500.
- 10. On January 12, 2025, the Athlete responded to the CCES's Notification.
- 11. On February 18, 2025, the CCES formally issued a Notice of Charge asserting an ADRV against the Athlete for the Use of Prohibited Substances pursuant to CADP Rule 2.2.
- 12. In accordance with CADP Rule 10.2.1.1, the standard sanction for a violation involving non-Specified Substances is a four (4) year period of ineligibility.
- 13. The CCES therefore asserted a four (4) year period of Ineligibility in addition to all applicable Consequences in its Notice of Charge on February 18, 2025.

Confirmation of Violation and Sanction

- 14. In accordance with CADP Rule 8.4.2, the CCES informed the Athlete in the Notice of Charge that a failure to dispute the asserted violation by March 10, 2025 would result in them being deemed to have admitted the violation, waiving their right to a hearing, and accepting the applicable Consequences.
- 15. On March 6, 2025, the CCES reminded the Athlete in writing of their options as set out in the Notice of Charge.
- 16. The Athlete failed to dispute the asserted violation by the applicable deadline; as such, the Athlete was deemed to have admitted the violation, waived their right to a hearing, and accepted all applicable Consequences pursuant to CADP Rule 8.4.2.
- 17. Accordingly, effective March 10, 2025, an ADRV was confirmed against the Athlete for the Use of the identified Prohibited Substances. In accordance with CADP Rule 10.2.1.1, the sanction for this violation is a four (4) year period of Ineligibility which, in accordance with CADP Rule 10.13.2.1, commenced on December 4, 2024, the date the Athlete was provisionally suspended, and concludes on December 3, 2028.
- 18. Further, in accordance with CADP Rule 10.10, any competitive results obtained by the Athlete from August 1, 2024 for the Use of the Prohibited Substances to the date the Athlete was provisionally suspended, shall be Disqualified.
- 19. The CCES now considers this case closed.

Dated at Ottawa, Ontario this 7th day of April 2025.

Kevin Bean

Executive Director, Sport Integrity

CCES